From: nearshoreguy@hotmail.com

**Sent:** Saturday, March 11, 2006 12:19 PM **To:** MLPAComments@resources.ca.gov

**Subject:** MLPAComments: Comment on Package S origination

I would like to submit this to your comment page to accompany a comment that had a fact wrong regarding thwe origination of Package S and the now arguable status of Package 1.

Thank you, Ernie Koepf

FROM: Ernie Koepf

TO: Blue Ribbon Task Force

Chairman Phil Isenberg

Dear Chairman Isenberg,

It has been brought to my attention by an ACSF board member that though Package S is an internal product of the BRTF, the MLPA-I Team are the architects of Package S and **not** the SAT. Further, it was his informed and considered opinion that Package 1 may no longer best meet the science guidelines, as those guidelines have shifted as the process has moved along toward the recommendation stage.

Our organization wishes to set these statements right in print and officially through this communication.

Personally and from my perspective, it seems to raises more questions than it answers, among them: does the MLPA policy process drive the scientific evaluation process? I hope that I again have this wrong.

Respectfully,

Ernie Koepf